

ProveNFix: Temporal Property guided Program Repair

Yahui Song, Xiang Gao, Wenhua Li, Wei-Ngan Chin, Abhik Roychoudhury





"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Modular Analysis:

- 1. Assume-guarantee paradigm (divide and conquer)
- 2. A set of forward/backwards reasoning rules

Some Forward Reasoning Rules



$$\frac{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}'=\Phi_{\mathsf{C}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{a}}}{\vdash \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}\} \ \mathbf{event}[\underline{\mathbf{a}}] \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}'\}} \ [\mathsf{FV-Event}] \qquad \frac{\vdash \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}\} \ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}'\}}{\vdash \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}\} \ \mathbf{e}_1 \ \mathbf{e}_2 \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}''\}} \ [\mathsf{FV-Seq}]$$

$$\frac{\vdash \{\mathbf{v} \land \Phi_{\mathsf{C}}\} \mathbf{e}_1 \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}'\}}{\vdash \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}\} \mathbf{if} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{then} \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{else} \mathbf{e}_2 \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}'\} \mathbf{e}_2 \ \{\Phi_{\mathsf{C}}''\}} \ [FV-If-Else]$$

"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Modular Analysis:

- 1. Assume-guarantee paradigm (divide and conquer)
- 2. A set of forward/backwards reasoning rules
- 3. Entailment/Inclusion Checking : $x > 1 \sqsubseteq x > 0$

"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Three main difficulties :

- 1. Temporal logic property entailment checker.
- 2. Writing temporal specifications for each function is tedious and challenging.
- 3. The classic pre/post-conditions is not enough, e.g.,

"some meaningful operations can only happen if the return value of loading the certificate is positive"

Future-condition

Defined in header <stdlib.h>

void free(void* ptr);

void free (**void** *ptr); // post: (ptr=null $\land \epsilon$) \lor (ptr≠null \land free(ptr)) ,// future: true $\land G$ (!_(ptr))

The behavior is undefined if after free() returns, an access is made through the pointer ptr (unless another allocation function

happened to result in a pointer value equal to ptr).

```
Defined in header <stdlib.h>
void* malloc( size_t size );
```

On success, returns the pointer to the beginning of newly allocated memory. To avoid a memory leak,

```
the returned pointer must be deallocated with free() or realloc().

On failure, returns a null pointer.

void *malloc (size_t size);

// pre: size>0 \land _*

// post: (ret=null \land \epsilon) \lor (ret\neqnull \land malloc(ret))

// future: ret\neqnull \rightarrow \mathcal{F} (free(ret))
```

Future-condition vs. Post-condtion ?



Future-condition vs. Post-condtion ?



Future-condition based modular analysis

Future-condition based modular analysis

$$nm(x^{*}) \mapsto (\Phi_{pre}, \Phi_{post}, \Phi_{future}) \in \mathcal{E}$$

Entailment Checking $\longrightarrow \Phi \sqsubseteq [y^{*}/x^{*}]\Phi_{pre}$
$$\Phi'_{post} = [r/ret, y^{*}/x^{*}]\Phi_{post}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \vdash \{\Phi \cdot \Phi'_{post}\} e \{\Phi_{e}\}$$

$$\Phi'_{post} = [r/ret, y^{*}/x^{*}]\Phi_{future}$$

$$\Phi'_{post} = [r/ret, y^{*}/x^{*}]\Phi_{future}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \vdash \{\Phi \cdot \Phi'_{post}\} e \{\Phi_{e}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \vdash \{\Phi\} r = nm(y^{*}); e \{\Phi'_{post} \cdot \Phi_{e}\}$$

[FR-Call]

"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Three main difficulties :

- 1. Temporal logic property entailment checker.
- 2. Writing temporal specifications for each function is tedious and challenging.
- 3. The classic pre/post conditions is not enough, e.g., Future-condition!

"some meaningful operations can only happen if the return value of loading the certificate is positive"

```
void *malloc (size_t size);
```

```
// future: (ret=null \land \mathcal{G} (!_(ret))) \lor (ret=null \land \mathcal{F} (free(ret))
```

```
void *malloc (size_t size);
```

```
// future: (ret=null \land \mathcal{G} (!_(ret))) \lor (ret=null \land \mathcal{F} (free(ret))
```

```
void wrap_malloc_I (int* ptr)
// future: ptr=null \land G (!_(ptr)) // future: ret=null \land G (!_(ret))
        ∨ ptr≠null ∧ \mathcal{F} (free(ptr))
```

```
int* wrap_malloc_II ()
                                                   \vee ret\neqnull \wedge \mathcal{F} (free(ret))
{ ptr = malloc (4); return; } { int* ptr = malloc (4); return ptr; }
```

```
void *malloc (size_t size);
```

// future: (ret=null $\land \mathcal{G}$ (!_(ret))) \lor (ret=null $\land \mathcal{F}$ (free(ret))

```
int* wrap_malloc_III ()
// future: true ∧ 𝓕 (free(ret))
{ int* ptr = malloc (4);
    if (ptr == NULL) exit(-1);
    return ptr;}
```

```
void *malloc (size_t size);
```

```
// future: (ret=null \land \mathcal{G} (!_(ret))) \lor (ret=null \land \mathcal{F} (free(ret))
```



"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Three main difficulties :

- 1. Temporal logic property entailment checker. **Primitive spec + spec inference!**
- 2. Writing temporal specifications for each function is tedious and challenging.
- 3. The classic pre/post conditions is not enough, e.g., Future-condition!

"some meaningful operations can only happen if the return value of loading the certificate is positive"

Term rewriting system for regular expressions

- Flexible specifications, which can be combined with other logic;
- Efficient entailment checker with inductive proofs.

(IntRE)	Φ	::=	$\bigvee (\pi \land \theta)$
(Traces)	θ	::=	$\perp \mid \epsilon \mid \mathbf{I} \mid \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2 \mid \theta_1 \lor \theta_2 \mid \theta^{\star}$
(Events)	Ι	::=	$\mathbf{A}(v) \mid \mathbf{A}(_) \mid !\mathbf{A}(v) \mid !_(v) \mid _ \mid \mathbf{I}_1 \land \mathbf{I}_2$
(Pure)	π	::=	$T \mid F \mid bop(t_1, t_2) \mid \pi_1 \land \pi_2 \mid \pi_1 \lor \pi_2 \mid \neg \pi \mid \exists x.\pi$
(Terms)	t	::=	$v \mid t_1 + t_2 \mid t_1 - t_2$
(Values)	υ	::=	$c \mid x \mid null$

Fig. 10. Syntax of the spec language, IntRE.

Term rewriting system for regular expressions

- Flexible specifications, which can be combined with other logic;
- Efficient entailment checker with inductive proofs.

Examples:

 $x>2 \land E \sqsubseteq x>1 \land (E \lor F)$ $x>0 \land E \not\sqsubseteq x>1 \land (E \lor F)$ $true \land E \not\sqsubseteq true \land (E \cdot F)$

$(a \lor b)^{\star} \sqsubseteq (a \lor b \lor bb)^{\star}$ [Reoc	<mark>cur]</mark>							
$ε \cdot (a \lor b) ★ ⊑ ε \cdot (a \lor b \lor bb) ★$	[Reoccur]							
<mark>a</mark> · (a ∨ b)*⊑ (a ∨ b ∨ bb)*	<mark>b</mark> · (a ∨ b) * ⊑							

"Each function is analysed only once and

can be replaced by their verified properties."

Three main difficulties :

A term rewriting system for regular expressions

- 1. Temporal logic property entailment checker. **Primitive spec + spec inference!**
- 2. Writing temporal specifications for each function is tedious and challenging.
- 3. The classic pre/post conditions is not enough, e.g., Future-condition!

"some meaningful operations can only happen if the return value of loading the certificate is positive"

Can!

Experiment 1: detecting bugs

Primitive APIs	Pre	Post	Future	Targeted Bug Type	◆ 17 predefined primitive specs.			
open/socket/fopen/fdopen/opendir	X X ✓			Descurree Leelt				
<pre>close/fclose/endmntent/fflush/closedir</pre>		1	×	Resource Leak	ProveNFix is finding 72.2%			
<pre>malloc/realloc/calloc/localtime</pre>	X			Null Dointon Donoforon ac				
\rightarrow (pointer dereference)		1	×	Null Pointer Dereierence	more true bugs. with a 17%			
malloc	1	1	1	Memory Usage				
free	 ✓ 	✓	~	(Leak, Use-After-Free, Double Free)	loss of missing true bugs.			

Drojaat	kI oC	#	NPD	:	#ML		#RL	Time		
riojeci	RLUC	Infer	ProveNFix	Infer	ProveNFix	Infer	ProveNFix	Infer	ProveNFix	
Swoole(a4256e4)	44.5	30 +7	30+23	<u>16+4</u>	<u>12+16</u>	13 +1	13 +6	2m 50s	39.54s	
lxc(72cc48f)	63.3	7 +9	5+ 19	11 +6	10+12	5 +1	5+5	55.62s	1m 28s	
WavPack(22977b2)	36	23 +7	20+ 21	3	3+9	0 +2	0	27.99s	23.77s	
flex(d3de49f)	23.9	14 +4	14+4	3	3+1	0	0+1	32.25s	47.75s	
p11-kit	76.2	3 +5	2+2	13 +3	12 +15	5	5+1	1m 57s	1m 4s	
x264(d4099dd)	67.7	0	0	12	11+5	2	2+3	2m 33s	23.168s	
recutils-1.8	81.9	25	22 +8	13+ 10	11 +29	1	1+7	9m 10s	38.29s	
inetutils-1.9.4	117.2	7 +4	5+8	9 +3	7+10	1	1+5	30.26s	1m 5s	
snort-2.9.13	378.2	44 +12	33+ 34	26 +4	15+ 16	1 +2	1+1	8m 49s	3m 13s	
grub(c6b9a0a)	331.1	13 +12	6+5	1	1	0 +3	0	3m 27s	<u>1m 1s</u>	
Total	1,220.00	166 +60	137+ 124	107 +30	85+113	26 +9	27 +29	31m 12s	10m 44s	

Primitiv		Pre	Post	Futur	e T	argeted Bug Ty	-	✤ 17 predefined primitive specs.					
open/socket/fopen	endir	X X 🗸				Posource Leek							
<pre>close/fclose/endmnte</pre>	nt/fflush/	closedir	×	1	X		Resource Leak		_ 🛠	ProveN	lFix is finc	ling 72.2%)
malloc/realloc/ca	alloc/local	time	X	×	1	Nul	Null Pointer Dereference					U	
\rightarrow (pointer d	ereference)		X	<u> </u>	<u>×</u>				-	more t	rue bugs,	with a 17	%
mall	oc		~	1	1		Memory Usage						
fre	е		1	1	1	(Leak, Us	e-After-Free, Dou	uble Free	2	loss of	missing t	rue bugs.	
													<u> </u>
Project	kI of		#NPD				#ML		#RI	Ľ	Т	ime	
Tioject	RLUC	Infer	P	ProveNFix		Infer	ProveNFix	Infer	Pro	VENFIX	Infer	ProveNFi	x
Swoole(a4256e4)	44.5	30 +7		30+	23	<u>16+4</u>	12+ 16	13 +1	13 +6		2m 50s	39.54s	
lxc(72cc48f)	63.3	7 +9		5+1	9	11+6	10+12	5+1	5 +5		55.62s	1m 28s	
WavPack(22977b2)	36	23 +7		20+2	21	3	3+9	0+2	0		27.99s	23.77s	
flex(d3de49f)	23.9	14 +4		14+	· 4	3	3+1	0	0+1		32.25s	47.75s	
p11-kit	76.2	3 +5		2+3	2	13 +3	12+15	5	5+1		1m 57s	1m 4s	
x264(d4099dd)	67.7	0		0		12	11+5	2	2+3		2m 33s	23.168s	
recutils-1.8	81.9	25		22+	·8	13+ 10	11+29	1		1+7	9m 10s	38.29s	
inetutils-1.9.4	117.2	7 +4		5+8		9 +3	7+10	1	1+5		30.26s	1m 5s	
snort-2.9.13	378.2	44 +12		33+34		26 +4	15+ 16	1+2		1+1	8m 49s	3m 13s	
grub(c6b9a0a)	331.1	13 +12		6+	5	1	1	0 +3	0		3m 27s	<u>1m 1s</u>	-
Total	1,220.00	166 +60		137+124		107 +30	85+113	26 +9	27 +29		31m 12s	10m 44s	

Experiment 1: detecting bugs

int* wrap_malloc_IV ()
// future: true ∧ _*
{ int* ptr = malloc (4);

return NULL;}

Failed entailment: true $\land \mathcal{E} \not\models$ ptr≠null $\land \mathcal{F}$ (free(ptr))

						_							
Primitiv		Pre Post Future			e Ta	Targeted Bug Type			✤ 17 predefined primitive specs.				
open/socket/fopen	/fdopen/op	endir	X X 🗸				Resource Leak				•	•	
close/fclose/endmnte	nt/fflush/	closedir	×	1	X		Resource Leak		_ 🛠	ProveN	lFix is finc	ling 72.2%)
malloc/realloc/ca	alloc/local	time	X	×	~	Null	Pointer Derefere	ence				U U	
\rightarrow (pointer d	ereference)		X	1	×				_	more t	rue bugs,	with a 17	%
mall	oc		1	~	<i>✓</i>		Memory Usage						1
fre	е				/	(Leak, Us	e-After-Free, Dou	uble Free	2	loss of	missing t	rue bugs.	
Project	kI of		#N]	PD			#ML		#RI		Time		
riojeci	RLUC	Infer	P	ProveNFix		Infer	ProveNFix	Infer	ProveNFix		Infer	ProveNFi	x
Swoole(a4256e4)	44.5	30 +7		30+	23	<u>16+4</u>	12+ 16	13 +1	13 +6		2m 50s	39.54s	
lxc(72cc48f)	63.3	7 +9		5+3	19	11+ 6	10+12	5 +1	5 +5		55.62s	1m 28s	
WavPack(22977b2)	36	23 +7		20+	21	3	3+9	0+2	0		27.99s	23.77s	
flex(d3de49f)	23.9	14 +4		14-	-4	3	3+1	0	0+1		32.25s	47.75s	
p11-kit	76.2	3 +5		2+	2	13 +3	12+15	5	5+1		1m 57s	1m 4s	
x264(d4099dd)	67.7	0		0		12	11+5	2	2+3		2m 33s	23.168s	
recutils-1.8	81.9	25		22-	⊦8	13 +10	11+29	1	1+7		9m 10s	38.29s	
inetutils-1.9.4	117.2	7 +4		5 +8		9 +3	7+10	1	1+5		30.26s	1m 5s	
snort-2.9.13	378.2	44 +12		33+34		26 +4	15+ 16	1 +2	1+1		8m 49s	3m 13s	
grub(c6b9a0a)	331.1	13 +12		6+	5	1	1	0 +3	0		3m 27s	<u>1m 1s</u>	-
Total	1,220.00	166 +60		137+124		107 +30	85+113	26 +9	27+29		31m 12s	10m 44s	

Experiment 1: detecting bugs

int* wrap_malloc_IV ()
// future: true ∧ _*
{ int* ptr = malloc (4);
 int* ptr1=ptr;
 return NULL;}

Failed entailment: true ∧ C ⊈ ptr≠null ∧ F (free(ptr))

Automated repair via deductive synthesis

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for the Deductive Synthesis

Require: \mathcal{E} , $(\pi \land \theta_{target})$ **Ensure:** An expression e_R such that $\mathcal{E} \vdash \{T \land \epsilon\} e_R \{\pi \land \theta_{target}\}$ 1: $e_{acc} = ()$ 2: for each $nm(x^*) \mapsto [\Phi_{pre}, \Phi_{post}, \Phi_{future}] \in \mathcal{E}$ do **if** $\theta_{target} = \epsilon$ **then return** if π then e_{acc} else () 3: else 4: // there exist a set of program variables y^* 5: $\theta'_{target} = (\pi \wedge [y^*/x^*]\Phi_{post})^{-1}\theta_{target}$ 6: $e_{acc} = e_{acc}; nm(y^*)$ 7: end if 8: 9: **end for**

10: **return** without any suitable patches

Example: true $\land \mathcal{E} \not\models \text{ptr}\neq\text{null }\land _^*.(free(ptr))$

⇒ synthesis(ptr≠null ∧ _^*.(free(ptr))) ⇒ if (ptr != NULL) free(ptr);

Automated repair via deductive synthesis

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for the Deductive Synthesis

Require: \mathcal{E} , $(\pi \land \theta_{target})$ **Ensure:** An expression e_R such that $\mathcal{E} \vdash \{T \land \epsilon\} e_R \{\pi \land \theta_{target}\}$ 1: $e_{acc} = ()$ 2: for each $nm(x^*) \mapsto [\Phi_{pre}, \Phi_{post}, \Phi_{future}] \in \mathcal{E}$ do **if** $\theta_{target} = \epsilon$ **then return** if π then e_{acc} else () 3: else 4: // there exist a set of program variables y^st 5: $\theta_{target}' = (\pi \wedge [y^*/x^*]\Phi_{post})^{-1}\theta_{target}$ 6: Only supporting inserting/deleting calls. $e_{acc} = e_{acc}; nm(y^*)$ 7: end if Do need re-analysis. 8: 9: **end for** 10: **return** without any suitable patches

Example: true $\land \mathcal{E} \not\models \text{ptr}\neq\text{null} \land _^*.(free(ptr))$

⇒ synthesis(ptr≠null $\land _^*$. (free(ptr))) ⇒ if (ptr != NULL) free(ptr);

Experiment 2: Repairing bugs

Drojaat		NPD		ML		RL	Time	:::		Infer-	v0.9.3	
Froject	#	ProveNFix	#	ProveNFix	#	ProveNFix	:		#ML	SAVER	#RL	FootPatch
Swoole	53	53	32	28	19	19	4.33s	:	15 +3	11	6 +1	6
lxc	26	24	23	22	10	10	3.882s	:	3 +5	3	2 +1	0
WavPack	44	41	12	12	0	0	11.435s	:	1 +2	0	2	1
flex	18	18	4	4	1	1	39.38s	:	3+4	0	0	0
p11-kit	5	4	28	27	6	6	2.452s	:	33 +9	24	2	1
x264	0	0	17	14	5	5	6.375s	:	10	10	0	0
recutils-1.8	33	30	42	36	8	8	1.261s	:	10 +11	8	1	0
inetutils-1.9.4	15	13	19	17	6	6	1.517s	:	4 +5	4	2 +1	1
snort-2.9.13	78	67	42	13	2	2	10.57s	:	16 +27	10	0	0
grub	18	11	1	1	0	0	40.626s	:	0	_0	0	0
Total(Fix Rate)	290	261(90%)	220	174 (79%)	57	57 (100%)	2m 2s	::	95 +66	70(73.7%)	15 +3	9(60%)

✤ 90% fix - null pointer dereferences,

✤ 79% fix - memory leaks

✤ 100% fix - resource leaks.

SAVER's pre-analysis time:

26.3 seconds for the flex project 39.5 minutes for the snort-2.9.13 project

Experiment 4: usefulness of spec inference

- 2 predefined primitive specs, OpenSSL-3.1.2, 556.3 kLoC,
- ✤ 143.11 seconds to generate future-conditions for 128 OpenSSL APIs
- Example: SSL_CTX_new (meth) ; // future : ((ret=0) /\ return (ret))

OpenSSL Applications	kLoC	Issue ID	Target API	Github Status	ProveNFix	Time	
lease live (942ff ago)	50 1	1003	SSL_CTX_new	✓	✓	5 6 2 0	
keepanve(04511000)	59.1	1004	SSL_new	✓	1	5.028	
$th_{c_{1}}$	30.0	28	BN_new	✓	 ✓ 	3 3 2 6	
uic-ipvo(011370c)	30.9	29	BN_set_word	1	(X)	5.528	
EreaDADIUS(04140da)	258.0	2309	BIO_new	✓	√	28.800	
rieeradius(941490c)	230.9	2310	i2a_ASN1_OBJECT	1	1	50.075	
		4292	SSL_CTX_new	✓	✓		
trafficserver(5ee6a5f)	34.1	4293	SSL_new	1	1	21.55s	
		4294	SSL_write	✓	✓		
adaptit(10a16bd)	107	224	SSL_CTX_use_certificate	✓	✓	2.600	
ssispin(19a10bu)	10.7	225	SSL_use_PrivateKey 🖌 🖌		1	2.095	
provytuppel(f783122)	3 1	36	SSL_connect	✓	✓	0.626	
	J.1	37	SSL_new	✓	✓	0.025	

Summary

- Compositional static analyzer via temporal properties.
- Specified 17 APIs; found 515 bugs from 1 million LOC; (on average) 90% fix rate.
- Specification: a novel future-condition.
- Specification inference via bi-abduction.
- The inferred spec can be used to analysis protocol applications, e.g., OpenSSL.

Take away

Specify a small set of properties once and analyse/repair a large number of programs

Specification inference enabled by projecting global spec to local spec.